

Final results of a First-in-Human Phase I Study evaluating INVAC-1, an Optimized Human Telomerase DNA Vaccine in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors

Luis Teixeira¹*, Jacques Medioni²*, Ludovic Doucet¹, Stephane Culine¹, Stephane Oudard², Olivier Adotevi³, Marie-Agnès Dragon Durey⁴, Zineb Ghrieb¹, Jean-Jacques Kiladjian¹, Mara Brizard², Caroline Laheurte³, Julie Garibal⁵, Valérie Doppler⁵, Ludovic Bourré⁵, Gaëlle Douillard-Guilloux⁵, Maria Wehbe⁵, Boussad Souttou⁵, Simon Wain-Hobson⁵, Rémy Defrance⁵, Pierre Langlade Demoyen⁵ and Thierry Huet⁵

¹Breast disease center and Medical Oncology Department, Center for Clinical Investigations (CIC 1427), Saint Louis Hospital, APHP, Paris-Diderot University, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France. ²Center for Early Clinical Trials, Medical Oncology Department (CEPEC), Georges Pompidou Hospital, Paris Descartes University, Paris, France. ³University of Bourgogne Franche-Comté, INSERM, EFS BFC, UMR1098, Biomonitoring Platform, Besançon, France. ⁴Immunobiology Laboratory, Georges Pompidou Hospital, Paris, France. ⁵Invectys, Paris BioPark, Paris, France

BACKGROUND

INVAC-1 is an optimized DNA vaccine encoding an inactive form of human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) a prototype of shared tumor antigen expressed in more than 85% of human cancers. Telomerase activation is associated with maintenance of telomere length and accounts for the unlimited proliferative capacity of cancer cells.

In preclinical studies, INVAC-1 vaccination triggered strong and long-lasting hTERTspecific CD8+ as well as CD4+ T-cell responses and also promoted antitumor effect. Here, we report clinical and pharmacodynamics results of the first clinical study with INVAC-1 vaccine as a single agent in solid tumors.

METHODS

Study design

Phase I, open label classical 3+3 design, multiple dose study of single agent INVAC-1 in patients with relapsed or refractory solid tumors (NCT02301754)

Study population

- 26 patients with histological diagnosis of advanced/metastatic solid tumors relapsed or refractory to standard treatment and with a life-expectancy > 4 months and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status \leq 1 were included.
- Patients had to have an adequate skin status and adequate bone marrow, renal, liver and cardiac function.
- Female patients not enrolled if they were pregnant or of child-bearing potential. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient.



INVAC-1 intra-dermal + electroporation or INVAC-1 intra-dermal using Tropis® Needle Free Injection System on day 1 of each cycle followed by a 28-day observation period

Safety

- Primary endpoint: DLT
- Secondary endpoints:
- Treatment-related AE
- Cytokine release
- Circulating auto-antibodies

Pharmacodynamics

- Objective response
- Tumor response and duration of response
- Time to event (PFS, OS)
- hTERT specific CD4 and CD8 responses (IFN-y cell ELISpot)
- Polarization of anti-hTERT immune response (Luminex[®])
- Blood Peripheral cell populations phenotyping: T cell subsets, Treg, MDSC, Immune Checkpoint expression (Flow Cytometry)

ASCO Annual Meeting 2019 - Developmental Immunotherapy and Tumor Immunobiology Poster Session - #286

Characteristic EP 100 µg n=3 EP 400 µg n=3 EP 400 µg n=3 Tropis ⁶ No µg n=3 Overall n(n=26) Injection site reaction 13' 4' 0 Gendor	3 Overall/26							Dose level	INVAC-1				
µg µg µg µg 800 µg (11.20) Gender		Grade 3	Grade 2 Grade 3	Grade 2	Grade 1		Overall	Tropis [®]	EP 800	EP 400	EP 100	acteristic	
Conder Conder<	17*	0	4*	13*	Injection site reaction	(11=20)	800 µg n=6	μg n=14	μg n=3	μg n=3			
Female n (%) 2 (66.7) 2 (66.7) 7 (50.0) 4 (66.7) 15 (57.7) Male n (%) 1 (33.3) 1 (33.3) 7 (50.0) 2 (33.3) 11 (42.3) Age (years) U <thu< th=""> U U</thu<>	19	0	4	15	Gastro intestinal disorders (Diarrhea, constipation, pain, vomiting)		11-0				der		
Male n % 1 (33.3) 1 (33.3) 7 (50.0) 2 (33.3) 11 (42.3) Age (years)	16	0	8	8	General disorders Asthenia	15 (57.7)	4 (66.7)	7 (50.0)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	ale n (%)		
Age (years) Mean (SD) 59.0 (10.1) 57.3 (14.4) 57.5 (13.6) 52.5 (13.3) 56.5 (12.7) Mean (ange) 57 [50; 70] 49 [49; 74] 60 [31; 74] 52 [34; 67] 58 [31; 74] Respiratory disorders Cough 6 1 0 Disease duration (months) 58.4 (55.8) 58.4 (55.8) 6 1 0 Meata (SD) 59.4 (53.8) 29.7 (17.1) 58.8 (47.2) 71.4 (88.3) 59.4 (55.8) 51.1 (12.11) 10.1; 41.6] 93.3 (10.2,4) 53.4 (55.8) Median (range) 34.5 121.11 37.5 122.5; 121.11 1(16.67) 14 (53.8) Tumor metastases Patients (%) 2 (66.7) 3 (100.0) 8 (57.1) 1 (16.67) 14 (53.8) 1 Line 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0) 1 (7.1) 3 (50.0) 5 (19.2)					Blood and lymphatic system disorders	11 (42.3)	2(33.3)	7 (50.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	n (%)		
Mean (SD) 59.0 (10.1) 57.3 (14.4) 57.5 (13.6) 52.5 (13.3) 56.5 (12.7) Med (range) 57 [50; 70] 49 [49; 74] 60 [31; 74] 52 [34; 67] 58 [31; 74] Disease duration (months) 6 1 0 Mean (SD) 59.4 (53.8) 29.7 (17.1) 58.8 (47.2) 71.4 (88.3) 58.4 (55.8) 39.0 Median (range) 34.5 [22.5; 121.1] 37.5 (10.1; 41.6] 42.5 (15.3; 244] 39.0 (15.3; 244] 39.0 (15.3; 244] Figure 2: Number of patients reporting the frequent AE by grade regardless causa reported for at least 10% of patients) Tumor metastases 1 (16.67) 1 4 (53.8) Treatment lines 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0) 1 (7.1) 3 (50.0) 5 (19.2) 1 Line 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0) 1 (7.1) 3 (50.0) 5 (19.2) No DLT was defined 2 Lines 0 (0.0) 1 (33.3) 4 (28.6) 1 (16.7) 6 (23.0) 2 Lines 2 (66.7) 2 (66.7) 9 (64.2) 2 (3.3) 15 (57.7) ECOG score 3 1 9 1 14	12	0	3	9	Lymphopenia and lymphocyte count decrease						(years)		
Med (range)57 [50; 70]49 [49; 74]60 [31; 74]52 [34; 67]58 [31; 74]Disease duration (months) $52 [34; 67]$ 58 [31; 74] 6 10Mean (SD) $59.4 (53.8)$ $29.7 (17.1)$ $58.8 (47.2)$ $71.4 (88.3)$ $58.4 (55.8)$ 33.9 39.0 Median (range) $\frac{34.5}{122.5;}$ 37.5 42.5 33.9 39.0 $19.3 (15.3; 244]$ $15.3; 244]$ $19.3 (15.3; 244]$ $19.3 (15.3; 244]$ $19.3 (16.67)$ $14 (53.8)$ Tumor metastasesTimer metastases1 Line $1 (33.3)$ $0 (0.0)$ $1 (7.1)$ $3 (50.0)$ $5 (19.2)$ $1 Lines$ $1 (33.3)$ $0 (0.0)$ $1 (7.1)$ $3 (50.0)$ $5 (19.2)$ $2 Lines$ $0 (0.0)$ $1 (33.3)$ $4 (28.6)$ $1 (16.7)$ $6 (23.0)$ $\geq 2 (66.7)$ $2 (66.7)$ $9 (64.2)$ $2 (33.3)$ $15 (57.7)$ ECOG score 0 3 1 9 1 14	7	1	2	4	Anemia	56.5 (12.7)	52.5 (13.3)	57.5 (13.6)	57.3 (14.4)	59.0 (10.1)	n (SD)		
Metabolic disorders AESI: HyperglycemiaMean (SD) 59.4 (53.8) 29.7 (17.1) 58.8 (47.2) 71.4 (88.3) 58.4 (55.8)Median (range) $\frac{34.5}{122.5}$ $121.1]37.5121.1]42.519.3; 162.4]39.019.3; 162.4]99.019.3; 2441Tumor metastasesPatients (%)2 (66.7)3 (100.0)8 (57.1)1 (16.67)14 (53.8)Treatment lines1 Line1 (33.3)0 (0.0)1 (7.1)3 (50.0)5 (19.2)2 Lines0 (0.0)1 (33.3)4 (28.6)1 (16.7)6 (23.0)\geq 3 Lines2 (66.7)2 (66.7)9 (64.2)2 (33.3)15 (57.7)ECOG score0319114$	7	0	1	6	Respiratory disorders Cough	58 [31; 74]	52 [34; 67]	60 [31; 74]	49 [49; 74]	57 [50; 70]	(range)		
Mean (SD) $59.4 (53.8)$ $29.7 (17.1)$ $58.8 (47.2)$ $71.4 (88.3)$ $58.4 (55.8)$ Median (range) 34.5 $[22.5;$ $121.1]$ 37.5 42.5 $[9.3; 162.4]$ 39.0 $[9.3; 244]$ 39.0 $[9.3; 244]$ Tumor metastasesPatients (%) $2 (66.7)$ $3 (100.0)$ $8 (57.1)$ $1 (16.67)$ $14 (53.8)$ 1 Line $1 (33.3)$ $0 (0.0)$ $1 (7.1)$ $3 (50.0)$ $5 (19.2)$ 2 Lines $0 (0.0)$ $1 (3.3)$ $4 (28.6)$ $1 (16.7)$ $6 (23.0)$ $\geq 3 \text{ Lines}$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (66.7)$ $9 (64.2)$ $2 (33.3)$ $15 (57.7)$ ECOG score 0 3 1 9 1 14	6	0	1	5	Metabolic disorders AESI : Hyperglycemia					n (months)	ase duratio		
Median (range) 34.5 [22.5; 121.1] 37.5 [10.1; 41.6] 42.5 [9.3; 162.4] 33.9 [15.3; 244] 39.0 [9.3; 244] Tumor metastases Frequent AE by grade regardless causa reported for at least 10% of patients) INVAC-1 was safe and well-tole all dose cohorts Patients (%) 2 (66.7) 3 (100.0) 8 (57.1) 1 (16.67) 14 (53.8) Treatment lines I Line 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0) 1 (7.1) 3 (50.0) 5 (19.2) 2 Lines 0 (0.0) 1 (33.3) 4 (28.6) 1 (16.7) 6 (23.0) No death was reported within after the last dose of study the whatever the number of cyce patient received (up to 9 cycles) 0 3 1 9 1 14	ne most	na the	eportir	tients r	Figure 2: Number of pat	58.4 (55.8)	71.4 (88.3)	58.8 (47.2)	29.7 (17.1)	59.4 (53.8)	n (SD)		
Tumor metastases Patients (%) 2 (66.7) 3 (100.0) 8 (57.1) 1 (16.67) 14 (53.8) Treatment lines 1 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0) 1 (7.1) 3 (50.0) 5 (19.2) 2 Lines 0 (0.0) 1 (33.3) 4 (28.6) 1 (16.7) 6 (23.0) ≥ 1 Lines 2 (66.7) 2 (66.7) 9 (64.2) 2 (33.3) 15 (57.7) ECOG score 0 3 1 9 1 14	ity (AE	ausalit	ess ca :s)	regardle patient	frequent AE by grade reported for at least 10% of	39.0 [9.3; 244]	33.9 [15.3; 244]	42.5 [9.3; 162.4]	37.5 [10.1; 41.6]	34.5 [22.5; 121.1]	an (range)		
Patients (%) 2 (66.7) 3 (100.0) 8 (57.1) 1 (16.67) 14 (53.8) Treatment lines . . I (33.3) 0 (0.0) 1 (7.1) 3 (50.0) 5 (19.2) 2 Lines 0 (0.0) 1 (33.3) 4 (28.6) 1 (16.7) 6 (23.0) ≥3 Lines 2 (66.7) 2 (66.7) 9 (64.2) 2 (33.3) 15 (57.7) ECOG score No death was reported within after the last dose of study the whatever the number of cycles 0 3 1 9 1 14										ses	or metastas		
Treatment lines1 Line1 (33.3)0 (0.0)1 (7.1)3 (50.0)5 (19.2)2 Lines0 (0.0)1 (33.3)4 (28.6)1 (16.7)6 (23.0) ≥ 3 Lines2 (66.7)2 (66.7)9 (64.2)2 (33.3)15 (57.7)ECOG score0319114				_									
1 Line1 (33.3)0 (0.0)1 (7.1)3 (50.0)5 (19.2)2 Lines0 (0.0)1 (33.3)4 (28.6)1 (16.7)6 (23.0) ≥ 3 Lines2 (66.7)2 (66.7)9 (64.2)2 (33.3)15 (57.7)ECOG score0319114914	erated	tole	well-	and	INVAC-1 was safe	14 (53.8)	1 (16.67)	8 (57.1)	3 (100.0)	2 (66.7)	nts (%)		
2 Lines $0 (0.0)$ $1 (33.3)$ $4 (28.6)$ $1 (16.7)$ $6 (23.0)$ $\geq 3 \text{ Lines}$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (66.7)$ $9 (64.2)$ $2 (33.3)$ $15 (57.7)$ ECOG score 0 3 1 9 1 14	erated	toleı	well-	and	INVAC-1 was safe all dose cohorts	14 (53.8)	1 (16.67)	8 (57.1)	3 (100.0)	2 (66.7)	nts (%) tment lines		
≥3 Lines 2 (66.7) 2 (66.7) 9 (64.2) 2 (33.3) 15 (57.7) ECOG score 3 1 9 1 14 after the last dose of study the number of cycles whatever the number of cycles	erated	tole:	well-	and ed	INVAC-1 was safe all dose cohorts No DLT was define	14 (53.8) 5 (19.2)	1 (16.67) 3 (50.0)	8 (57.1) 1 (7.1)	3 (100.0) 0 (0.0)	2 (66.7) 1 (33.3)	nts (%) tment lines e		
ECOG score whatever the number of cycles 0 3 1 9 1 14 Patient received (up to 9 cycles)	erated 28 da	tolei 111 2	well- with	and ed orted	INVAC-1 was safe all dose cohorts No DLT was define No death was rep	14 (53.8) 5 (19.2) 6 (23.0)	1 (16.67) 3 (50.0) 1 (16.7)	8 (57.1) 1 (7.1) 4 (28.6)	3 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (33.3)	2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0)	nts (%) tment lines e es		
0 3 1 9 1 14 patient received (up to 9 cycles)	erated 28 day reatme	tolei nin 2 y tre	well- with stud	and ed orted e of	INVAC-1 was safe all dose cohorts No DLT was define No death was rep after the last dose	14 (53.8) 5 (19.2) 6 (23.0) 15 (57.7)	1 (16.67) 3 (50.0) 1 (16.7) 2 (33.3)	8 (57.1) 1 (7.1) 4 (28.6) 9 (64.2)	3 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (33.3) 2 (66.7)	2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0) 2 (66.7)	nts (%) tment lines e es nes		
	erated 28 da reatme cles t	tolei nin 2 y tre cycl	well- with stud of	and ed orted e of mber	INVAC-1 was safe all dose cohorts No DLT was define No death was rep after the last dose whatever the nur	14 (53.8) 5 (19.2) 6 (23.0) 15 (57.7)	1 (16.67) 3 (50.0) 1 (16.7) 2 (33.3)	8 (57.1) 1 (7.1) 4 (28.6) 9 (64.2)	3 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (33.3) 2 (66.7)	2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0) 2 (66.7)	nts (%) tment lines e es nes PG score		
1 0 2 5 5 12 • Most common study treatmen	erated 28 da eatme les t	tolei nin 2 y tre cycl les)	well- with stud of ocvc	and ed orted orted of mber p to §	INVAC-1 was safe all dose cohorts No DLT was define No death was rep after the last dose whatever the nur patient received (u	14 (53.8) 5 (19.2) 6 (23.0) 15 (57.7) 14	1 (16.67) 3 (50.0) 1 (16.7) 2 (33.3) 1	8 (57.1) 1 (7.1) 4 (28.6) 9 (64.2) 9	3 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (33.3) 2 (66.7) 1	2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) 0 (0.0) 2 (66.7) 3	nts (%) tment lines e es nes PG score		

PHARMACODYNAMICS AND IMMUNOLOGICAL RESPONSE



Baseline; D1C2 – Day 1 Cycle 2; D1C3 – Day 1 Cycle 3; D1C4 – Day 1 Cycle 4; EOT – End of Treatment. Cytokine secretion is expressed as ratio [cytokine in stimulated wells (S)] / [cytokine in non-stimulated wells (NS)] - Mean values (responders n=15, non-responders n=9) are plotted. Statistical comparison between CD4 responders and non-responders was performed using unpaired non parametric Mann-Whitney t test. (*) p< 0.01; (**) p<0.001. (D) Circulating tolerogenic cell populations characterization. % of circulating Treg (left) and MDSC (right) are represented. Line represents mean for each time point. (*) p< 0.01, paired non parametric Wilcoxon t test.

RESULTS



INVAC-1, by triggering strong anti-hTERT Th1-polarized CD4 T cell response as well as CD8 cytotoxic response without immune suppression induction, demonstrated robust immunogenicity in patients enrolled in this study

• Our results showed prolonged OS for patients presenting a INVAC-1induced CD4 hTERT immune response compared to non-responder (17,4 versus 7 months) whatever their immune status at baseline (preexisting anti hTERT immune response or not)

Thierry HUET – thierry.huet@invectys.com **Pierre LANGLADE-DEMOYEN – pierre.langlade@invectys.com**







CORRELATION BETWEEN CLINICAL AND IMMUNOLOGICAL RESPONSE

---- CD4 Responders --- CD4 Non responders

subgroups by survival according to the presence or absence of an anti-hTERT CD4 specific T-cell response showed a clear (although significant) trend estimated OS for responders compared to nonresponders (17.38 [12.4-29.3] vs. 6.97 months [3.0-])

CONCLUSIONS

INVAC-1 was demonstrably **safe** and **well tolerated** up to nine cycles as a single intradermal treatment (100 µg, 400 µg and 800 µg) in patients with

Disease stabilization was achieved in 58% of patients, showing an improved efficacy of INVAC-1 compared to most of hTERT vaccines clinically tested to date

CONTACTS

PLEASE DO NOT POST ON SOCIAL MEDIA